Chemical Dynamics



UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG ZUKUNFT SEIT 1386

Oriol Vendrell Theoretische Chemie Physikalisch-Chemisches Institut Universität Heidelberg





Ultrafast X-ray Summer School 2019

QUESTION



l am a ...

a physicist.

• ... a chemist.

A ... a biologist / life scientist.

• ... I still need to find out.

QUESTION



I mostly work as ...

- ... an experimentalist.
- … a theoretician.

▲ ... both an experimentalist and a theoretician.



Introduction

From kinetics to dynamics of chemical processes

Theoretical description of the structure and dynamics of molecules

Time-dependent description of molecular spectroscopy

Tracing chemical dynamics with (ultrafast) x-rays.
Examples and applications.

Chemical (Molecular Reaction) Dynamics





- Molecular level reaction mechanisms
- •Elementary charge and energy transfer processes
- •Means of probing them
- Means of controlling them

Chemical (Molecular Reaction) Dynamics



























Typical time-scales in Chemical Dynamics





The ultrafast X-ray spectroscopic revolution in chemical dynamics Kraus, Zürch, Cushing, Neumark, Leone, Nat. Rev. Chem., **2**, 82 (2018)



From kinetics to dynamics of chemical processes

QUESTION



What is a transition state?

An unstable configuration of a molecule

- The maximum energy configuration along the minimum energy pathway connecting stable structures.
- A dividing surface in phase space along which recrossing is minimized.



Published on 01 January 1938. Downloaded by Ruprecht-Karls University

Fig. 1.—The regions (l) and (r), the activation point A and the surface F, in the section of the configuration space, corresponding to a linear configuration of the atoms H, H, Br. The ordinate is the distance of the two H atoms in A, the abscissa the distance of the middle H from the Br. The numbered lines are contour lines of the energy surface, constructed by Eyring and Polanyi for the reaction

 $H + HBr = H_2 + Br.$







$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{A} \stackrel{\kappa_A}{\overline{\kappa_B}} \mathbf{B} \\ \langle \Theta_A \rangle : \text{fraction of A} \end{array}$$

 $\frac{d}{dt}\langle\Theta_B\rangle = \kappa_A\langle\Theta_A\rangle - \kappa_B\langle\Theta_B\rangle$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle\Theta_A\rangle = \frac{d}{dt}\langle\Theta_B\rangle = 0$$

$$\frac{\langle \Theta_B \rangle}{\langle \Theta_A \rangle} = \frac{\kappa_A}{\kappa_B} = K_{\rm eq}$$



Micro-canonical reaction rate in the energy shell $\mathsf{E}{+}\mathsf{d}\mathsf{E}$

$$\kappa(E) = \frac{N^{\ddagger}(E - \epsilon_0)}{h\rho(E)}$$

 ϵ_0 : classical barrier

$$\kappa(T) = \frac{1}{Q(T)} \int_0^\infty \kappa(E) e^{-E/KT} dE$$
$$\kappa(T) = \frac{KT}{h} \frac{Q^{\ddagger}}{Q} e^{-\epsilon_0/KT}$$
$$T = 300 \text{ K} \implies \frac{KT}{h} = 6.25 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

 ≈ 160 fs per attempt



dp+?

P







Viggiano et al., *J. Chem. Phys.* **74** 6113 (1981) Rate constants for the collisional dissociation of N2O5 by N2.



$$\xrightarrow{k[N_2O_5]} NO_2 + NO_3 \qquad -\frac{d[R]}{dt} = k[R]$$

$k(T)[s^{-1}] = Ae^{-B/T}$ S Arrennius, Z phys. Chem. 4, 226 (1889)

Viggiano et al., *J. Chem. Phys.* **74** 6113 (1981) Rate constants for the collisional dissociation of N2O5 by N2.



$$\xrightarrow{k[N_2O_5]} NO_2 + NO_3 \qquad -\frac{d[R]}{dt} = k[R]$$

 $k(T)[s^{-1}] = Ae^{-B/T}$ S Arrehnius, Z phys. Chem. 4, 226 (1889)

$$A = 5.08 \times 10^{13} [s^{-1}]$$

$$\frac{1}{A} = \tau = 1.97 \times 10^{-14} \text{ [s]} \implies 19.7 \text{ [fs]}$$

Viggiano et al., *J. Chem. Phys.* **74** 6113 (1981) Rate constants for the collisional dissociation of N2O5 by N2.



$$\xrightarrow{k[N_2O_5]} NO_2 + NO_3 \qquad -\frac{d[R]}{dt} = k[R]$$

 $k(T)[s^{-1}] = Ae^{-B/T}$ S Arrehnius, Z phys. Chem. 4, 226 (1889)

$$A = 5.08 \times 10^{13} [s^{-1}]$$

$$\frac{1}{A} = \tau = 1.97 \times 10^{-14} \text{ [s]} \implies 19.7 \text{ [fs]}$$

Viggiano et al., *J. Chem. Phys.* **74** 6113 (1981) Rate constants for the collisional dissociation of N2O5 by N2.



- (Thermal) Chemical reactions are "rare events".
- Once a reactive event starts, it proceeds very rapidly.





J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 74-87

Activation to the Transition State: Reactant and Solvent Energy Flow for a Model $S_N 2$ Reaction in Water

Bradley J. Gertner,[†] Robert M. Whitnell,[†] Kent R. Wilson,^{*,†} and James T. Hynes^{*,‡}

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0339, and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0215. Received April 20, 1990

Fundamental reactive steps



- Thermally activated processes
 - A large part of chemistry and biology is here
 - The "trigger" problem

Fundamental reactive steps



Thermally activated processes

 A large part of chemistry and biology is here

The "trigger" problem

Ultrafast energy transfer to liquid water by THz pulses

PK Mishra, OV, R Santra Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **52**, 13685 (2013) J. Phys. Chem. B **119**, 8080 (2015) Phys. Rev. E **93**, 032124 (2016)

Fundamental reactive steps





QUESTION



It is possible to define rates for photo-triggered processes:









Theoretical Description of the Structure and Dynamics of Molecules

The molecular Hamiltonian





$$\begin{split} \hat{H}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) = & \sum_{a} -\frac{\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_a^2}{2M_a} + \sum_{a>b} \frac{e^2 Z_a Z_b}{|\vec{R}_a - \vec{R}_b|} + \sum_{i} -\frac{\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{j>i} \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|} - \sum_{ai} \frac{e^2 Z_a}{|\vec{R}_a - \vec{r}_i|} \\ - \vec{E}(t) \left(\sum_{a} e Z_a \vec{R}_a - \sum_{i} e \vec{r}_i \right) \end{split}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)$$

The molecular Hamiltonian





$$\begin{split} \hat{H}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) &= \sum_{a} -\frac{\hbar^{2} \vec{\nabla}_{a}^{2}}{2M_{a}} + \sum_{a > b} \frac{e^{2} Z_{a} Z_{b}}{|\vec{R}_{a} - \vec{R}_{b}|} + \sum_{i} -\frac{\hbar^{2} \vec{\nabla}_{i}^{2}}{2m} + \sum_{j > i} \frac{e^{2}}{|\vec{r}_{i} - \vec{r}_{j}|} - \sum_{ai} \frac{e^{2} Z_{a}}{|\vec{R}_{a} - \vec{r}_{i}|} \\ -\vec{E}(t) \left(\sum_{a} e Z_{a} \vec{R}_{a} - \sum_{i} e \vec{r}_{i} \right) \end{split}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t)$$

The molecular Hamiltonian





$$\hat{H}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) = \hat{T}_N + \hat{H}_e - \vec{E}(t)\hat{\mu}$$

$$\hat{H}_e = \sum_i -\frac{\hbar^2 \vec{\nabla}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{j>i} \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|} + \sum_{ai} \frac{-e^2 Z_a}{|\vec{R}_a - \vec{r}_i|} + \sum_{a>b} \frac{e^2 Z_a Z_b}{|\vec{R}_a - \vec{R}_b|}$$

$$\hat{H}_e \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = V_j(\mathbf{R}) \Phi_j(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$

The molecular Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R},t) &= \sum_{i} \chi_{i}(\mathbf{R},t) \Phi_{i}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R}) \\ \mathbf{Plug\ into\ TDSE} \\ i\hbar \frac{\partial \chi_{j}}{\partial t} &= (T_{N}+V_{j})\chi_{j} - \sum_{i\neq j} \Lambda_{ji}\chi_{i} \end{split}$$

Photo-acidity

. . .

DNA photo-protection

In **practical applications** it is often advantageous to use a **diabatic** representation.

$$\Lambda_{ji} = \frac{1}{2M} \left(\frac{2\langle \Phi_j | \nabla \Phi_i \rangle \nabla + \langle \Phi_j | \nabla^2 \Phi_i \rangle}{2M} \right)$$
$$\left\langle \Phi_j | \nabla \Phi_i \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi_j | (\nabla \hat{H}_{el}) | \Phi_i \rangle}{V_i - V_j}$$

M. Born and R. Oppenheimer, *Ann. Phys.*, **84**, 457 (1927) M. Born and K. Huang, Book: *Oxford University Press*, [Appendix VIII] (1954)

















The group Born-Oppenheimer approximation





$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\begin{array}{c}\tilde{\chi}_{1}\\\tilde{\chi}_{2}\end{array}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}\hat{T}_{N}\otimes\mathbf{1} + \begin{pmatrix}W_{11} & W_{12}\\\hat{W}_{12} & \hat{W}_{22}\end{pmatrix}\end{bmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\chi}_{1}\\\tilde{\chi}_{2}\end{pmatrix}$$
QUESTION



Why is the BO approximation so useful?

Because it decouples electronic and nuclear dynamics.

 Because electrons and nuclei are treated on the same footing.

A One can use it to draw Lewis structures.

Because when it breaks one can publish papers on it.

The Landau-Zener model for non-adiabatic transitions







 $F_{12} = \frac{dW_{11}}{dx} - \frac{dW_{22}}{dx} = \text{const.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}(W_{11} - W_{22}) = F_{12}v \\ \frac{d}{dt}(W_{11} - W_{22}) = F_{12}v \\ = \alpha \end{array} \right\}$

 $W_{12} = \text{const.}$

Zener, C. *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **1932**, *137*, 696. Landau, L. D. *Phys. Z.* **1932**, *2*, 46. Wittig, C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 8428 The energy gap changes linearly with time.

The Landau-Zener model for non-adiabatic transitions





Zener, C. *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **1932**, *137*, 696. Landau, L. D. *Phys. Z.* **1932**, *2*, 46. Wittig, C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 8428

$$C_1(-\infty) = 0$$
$$|C_2(-\infty)| = 1$$

$$P_{\rm nat} = |C_2(\infty)|^2$$

$$P_{\text{nat}} = \exp\left(-2\pi \ \omega_{12} \ \tau_d\right)$$
$$\omega_{12} = \frac{|W_{12}|}{\hbar} \qquad \tau_d = \frac{|W_{12}|}{v|F_{12}|}$$

QUESTION



Fast atomic motion results in...

- ... non-adiabatic transitions.
- small probability that the electronic subsystem rearranges.
- ▲ ... break-up of the BO approximation.
- … that electrons cannot follow.

Curve crossings: non-crossing rule in diatoms



$$V_{\pm} = \frac{W_{11} + W_{22}}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(W_{11} - W_{22})^2 + 4|W_{12}|^2}}$$

$$\Delta V = \sqrt{(W_{11} - W_{22})^2 + 4|W_{12}|^2}$$

$$W_{11}(x)$$

$$V_{+}(x)$$

$$W_{22}(x)$$

Curve crossings: conical intersections



Two conditions to satisfy: CI have dimensionality M-2



Adapted from Faraday Discuss. 2004, 127, 283-293

Numerically solving the dynamics problem

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\mathbf{R},t) &= \sum_{J} A_{J}(t) \Phi_{J}(\mathbf{R}) \\ &= \sum_{j_{1},...,j_{f}}^{N_{1},...,N_{f}} A_{j_{1},...,j_{f}} \varphi_{j_{1}}(R_{1}) \varphi_{j_{2}}(R_{2}) \cdots \varphi_{j_{f}}(R_{f}) \\ N^{f} \text{ configurations} \end{split}$$

Standard method

$$i\hbar\dot{A}_I = \sum_J \langle \varphi_I(\mathbf{R}) | T + V(\mathbf{R}) | \varphi_J(\mathbf{R}) \rangle A_J$$

Time-dependent configurations:

- MCTDH
- Moving Gaussian basis

R. Kossloff, *J. Phys. Chem.* **92**, 2087 (1988) C. Leforestier et al., *J. Comp. Phys.* **94**, 59 (1991) M.H. Beck et al. *Phys. Rep.* **324**, 1, (2000) M. Ben-Nun, T.J. Martinez, Adv. Chem. Phys. **121**, 439 (2002) Worth et al. *J. Phys. Chem A* **107**, 621 (2003);

Nuclei can approximately be described classically ...

... when the energy scale of the problem is much larger than the nuclear energy level separations.







Nuclear dynamics described classically:

Born-Oppenheimer

$$m_{a}\ddot{\vec{R}}_{a} = -\vec{\nabla}_{a}V_{0}(\mathbf{R}) \begin{cases} V_{0}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \psi_{0;\mathbf{R}} | \hat{H}_{e}(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \psi_{0;\mathbf{R}} \rangle & \text{BOMD} \\ V_{0}(\mathbf{R}) = f(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{\text{bonds}} + \sum_{\text{angles}} + \sum_{\text{charges}} + \dots & \text{MM (mol. mech.)} \end{cases}$$

Non-adiabatic transitions

$$m_a \ddot{\vec{R}}_a = -\vec{\nabla}_a V_x(\mathbf{R}) \begin{cases} V_x(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{H}_e(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \psi(t) \rangle & \text{Ehrenfest} \\ V_x(\mathbf{R}) = V_j(\mathbf{R}) & \text{Surface-Hopping} \end{cases}$$

 $i\hbar|\dot{\psi}(t)\rangle = \hat{H}_e(\mathbf{R}(t))|\psi(t)\rangle$

$$\hat{H}_e(\mathbf{R})|\psi_{j;\mathbf{R}}\rangle = V_j(\mathbf{R})|\psi_{j;\mathbf{R}}\rangle$$

J. Tully, *J. Chem. Phys.* **93**, 1061 (1990) M.D. Hack, D.G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **104**, 7917, (2000)

Non-adiabatic dynamics with classical trajectories





$$m_a \ddot{\vec{R}}_a = -\vec{\nabla}_a V_j(\mathbf{R})$$

$$i\hbar\dot{c}_j(t) = \sum_i c_i(t) \left(V_i \delta_{ji} - i\hbar \,\dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{ji} \right)$$

$$m_a \ddot{\vec{R}}_a = -\vec{\nabla}_a V_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{R})$$

 $V_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{R}) = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{H}_{e}(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \psi(t) \rangle$ $i\hbar | \dot{\psi}(t) \rangle = \hat{H}_{e}(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \psi(t) \rangle$

J. Tully, *J. Chem. Phys.* **93**, 1061 (1990) M.D. Hack, D.G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **104**, 7917, (2000)

QUESTION



Nuclei can (sometimes) be approximated as classical particles when...

...electrons are fast.

…electrons are slow.

△ …nuclei have a large kinetic energy.





Time-dependent Description of Molecular Spectroscopy

Nearly all we know about matter is through its interaction with light. — Yoda.





Acetylacetone photodynamics at a seeded free-electron laser R. J. Squibb et. al. *Nature Communications*, **9**, 63 (2018)

Non-perturbative absorption spectrum





From the total energy gained (lost)
 by the system at a given frequency:

$$N_a(\omega) = \frac{\Delta E(\omega)}{\hbar \omega}$$

From classical electromagnetism:

$$\frac{N(\omega)}{A} = \frac{|\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)|^2 c}{\hbar \omega}$$

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{\Delta E(\omega)}{|\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)|^2 c} \qquad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\epsilon}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon(t) e^{i\omega t} dt \quad \text{Back FT}$$

So the task is to determine the energy exchanged with the EM field at each frequency.

Non-perturbative absorption spectrum



$$\begin{split} E(t) &= \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H}(t) | \Psi(t) \rangle \qquad \hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 - \hat{\mu} \epsilon(t) \\ \dot{E}(t) &= \langle \dot{\Psi}(t) | \hat{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle + \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{H} | \dot{\Psi}(t) \rangle + \langle \Psi(t) | \frac{d\hat{H}}{dt} | \Psi(t) \rangle \\ \dot{E}(t) &= -\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{\mu} | \Psi(t) \rangle \dot{\epsilon}(t) \\ &= -P(t) \dot{\epsilon}(t) \end{split}$$

Total energy increase:

$$\Delta E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{E}(t)dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -P(t)\dot{\epsilon}(t)dt = -2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -i\omega [\tilde{P}(\omega)]^* \tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta E(\omega)d\omega = \Delta E$$

$$\Delta E(\omega) = 2\pi i \; \omega [\tilde{P}(\omega)]^* \tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)$$

Time-dependent perturbation theory



$$\Psi(t) = \Psi^{(0)}(t) + \lambda \Psi^{(1)}(t) + \lambda^2 \Psi^{(2)}(t) + \cdots$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi^{(0)}(t) = H_0 \Psi^{(0)}(t)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi^{(1)}(t) = H_0 \Psi^{(1)}(t) + V(t) \Psi^{(0)}(t)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi^{(2)}(t) = H_0 \Psi^{(2)}(t) + V(t) \Psi^{(1)}(t)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{(0)}(t) &= e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t-t_0)/\hbar} \Psi^{(0)}(t_0) \\ \Psi^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t-t')\hbar} \hat{V}(t') e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t'-t_0)\hbar} \Psi^{(0)}(t_0) \\ \Psi^{(2)}(t) &= \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t-t')\hbar} \hat{V}(t') e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t'-t'')/\hbar} \hat{V}(t'') e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t''-t_0)/\hbar} \Psi^{(0)}(t_0) \end{split}$$



The case of a very short pulse



$$\epsilon(t) = a \ \delta(t) \longrightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}(\omega) = a/2\pi$$

Ideal short pulse.

$$P(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{\mu} | \Psi(t) \rangle = \left(\langle \Psi^{(0)}(t) | + \langle \Psi^{(1)}(t) | \right) \hat{\mu} \left(| \Psi^{(0)}(t) \rangle + | \Psi^{(1)}(t) \rangle \right)$$

$$|\Psi^{(1)}(t)\rangle = \frac{a}{i\hbar}e^{-i\hat{H}_0t/\hbar}\hat{\mu}|\Psi^{(0)}(0)\rangle$$

First order TDPT

$$P(t) = 2\Re\left\{\langle\Psi^{(0)}(t)|\hat{\mu}|\Psi^{(1)}(t)\rangle\right\} = 2\Re\left\{\frac{1}{i\hbar}e^{iE_0t/\hbar}\langle\Psi^{(0)}(0)|\hat{\mu}e^{-i\hat{H}_0t/\hbar}\hat{\mu}|\Psi^{(0)}(0)\rangle\right\}\Theta(0)$$

$$\tilde{P}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(t) e^{i\omega t} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \Psi^{(0)}(0) | \hat{\mu} e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t/\hbar} \hat{\mu} | \Psi^{(0)}(0) \rangle e^{i(\omega_0 + \omega)t} dt$$

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{2\pi\omega}{\hbar c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(t) e^{i(\omega_0 + \omega)t} dt$$

Wavepacket interpretation of 1-photon spectroscopy



Figure 14.6 Wavepacket interpretation of the formula for the one-photon absorption spectrum, $\sigma(\omega_{\rm I})$ (Eq. 14.21). The state $\phi_i = \mu_{ba} \psi_i$ is a *wavepacket* on the excited electronic state potential and begins to move according to the Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation. The absolute value squared of the autocorrelation function constructed from the overlap of $\phi_i(t)$ with $\phi_i(0)$ is shown in the inset. The Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function at frequency $\tilde{\omega}_{\rm I}$ determines the absorption spectrum (see Eq. 14.21).



Wavepacket interpretation of 1-photon spectroscopy



Figure 14.6 Wavepacket interpretation of the formula for the one-photon absorption spectrum, $\sigma(\omega_{\rm I})$ (Eq. 14.21). The state $\phi_i = \mu_{ba} \psi_i$ is a *wavepacket* on the excited electronic state potential and begins to move according to the Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation. The absolute value squared of the autocorrelation function constructed from the overlap of $\phi_i(t)$ with $\phi_i(0)$ is shown in the inset. The Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function at frequency $\tilde{\omega}_{\rm I}$ determines the absorption spectrum (see Eq. 14.21).

$$\sigma(\omega) \propto \omega \sum_{j} |\langle \Psi_{j} | \hat{\mu} | \Psi_{0} \rangle| \quad \delta(\omega + \omega_{0} - \omega_{j})$$

$$\sigma(\omega) \propto \omega \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \Psi_{0} | \hat{\mu} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}_{0} t/\hbar} \hat{\mu} | \Psi_{0} \rangle e^{i\omega t} dt$$

$$\propto \omega 2\Re \left\{ \int_{0}^{+\infty} \underbrace{\langle \Psi_{0} | \hat{\mu} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}_{0} t/\hbar} \hat{\mu} | \Psi_{0} \rangle}_{C(t)} e^{i\omega t} dt \right\}$$

Wavepacket interpretation of 1-photon spectroscopy



Figure 14.6 Wavepacket interpretation of the formula for the one-photon absorption spectrum, $\sigma(\omega_{\rm I})$ (Eq. 14.21). The state $\phi_i = \mu_{ba} \psi_i$ is a *wavepacket* on the excited electronic state potential and begins to move according to the Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation. The absolute value squared of the autocorrelation function constructed from the overlap of $\phi_i(t)$ with $\phi_i(0)$ is shown in the inset. The Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function at frequency $\tilde{\omega}_{\rm I}$ determines the absorption spectrum (see Eq. 14.21).



Wavepacket picture of 1-photon spectroscopy





Figure 1. (A) Photoabsorption between two Born–Oppenheimer potential surfaces. The Franck–Condon wave packet $\phi(t)$, arising out of $\phi = \mu \chi$ (χ is shown on the lower surface, ϕ and $\phi(t)$ on the upper), takes a circuitous path in this case, "grazing" $\phi(0)$ several times on the way to dissociation. The result is an absorption band with some low-resolution vibrational structure. (B) Direct dissociation leading to a broad, featureless absorption band.



Figure 3. (Left) Absolute value of $\langle \phi | \phi(t) \rangle$ for the case of Figure 1B. Three important time scales, T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 , are shown. (Right) Spectrum arising out of Figure 1A and the autocorrelation function. Note how T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 affect the spectrum.

- Shortest time-scale in C(t) defines total width of spectrum.
- Longest time-scale in C(t), its overall decay time, defines width of highest resolution features.

Example: Photoabsorption spectrum of pyrazine

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0



Molecular dynamics of pyrazine after excitation to the S_2 electronic state using a realistic 24-mode model Hamiltonian

A. Raab, G. A. Worth, H.-D. Meyer, and L. S. Cederbaum Theoretische Chemie, Physikalisch-Chemisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, INF 253, D-69120 Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany



FIG. 1. The PES in dependence of the modes v_{18b} and v_{19b} $(Q1 = Q_{18b})$, $Q2 = Q_{19b}$, the remaining coordinates are set to zero): The S_2 PES is rather flat for $Q_{18b} = -Q_{19b}$ (the diabatic picture) leading to a rather interesting conical intersection with the S_1 PES. Note that the mode pair (ν_{18b}, ν_{19b}) belongs to the set G_2 [cf. Eq. (1)] and thus introduces a bilinear coupling.



QUESTION



Broad-band excitation results in wavepacket dynamics

_____ always.

- Output in the pulse is sufficiently intense.
- A ... only if the system has states spanning the given bandwidth.
- … only if there is no observer.

Transient (x-ray) absorption spectrum



g

Pump and probe pulses do not overlap

Probe pulse is after pump pulse

Probe pulse is short

$$\sigma(\omega,\tau) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{\hbar c} \operatorname{Re}\left[\int_0^\infty dt \ e^{i\omega t} \langle \Psi_a(\tau) | e^{iH_a t/\hbar} \hat{\mu}_{ab} \ e^{-iH_b t/\hbar} \hat{\mu}_{ab} | \Psi_a(\tau) \rangle\right]$$



 $\mu a 0$

Transient (x-ray) absorption spectrum

Short time approximation:

$$\begin{array}{c} e^{iH_a t/\hbar} \hat{\mu}_{ab} \longrightarrow \hat{\mu}_{ab} e^{iH_a t/\hbar} \\ \hline e^{iH_a t/\hbar} e^{-iH_b t/\hbar} = e^{-i(H_b - H_a)t/\hbar} + \mathcal{O}(t^2) + \cdots \\ \approx e^{-i(V_b - V_a)t/\hbar} \end{array}$$

$$\sigma(\omega,\tau) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{\hbar c} \operatorname{Re}\left[\int_0^\infty dt \ e^{i\omega t - \Gamma t/\hbar} \langle \Psi_a(\tau) | \hat{\mu}_{ab} \ e^{-i(V_b - V_a)t/\hbar} \hat{\mu}_{ab} | \Psi_a(\tau) \rangle\right]$$

$$\sigma(\omega,\tau) = \frac{4\pi\omega}{c} \int d\mathbf{R} |\chi(\mathbf{R},\tau)|^2 |\mu(\mathbf{R})|^2 \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^2 + [V_b(\mathbf{R}) - V_a(\mathbf{R}) - \hbar\omega]^2}$$

(Lorentzian limit)

Lee, Pollard & Mathies, Chem. Phys. Lett. 163, 11 (1989)





Tracing chemical dynamics with (ultrafast) x-rays. Examples and applications.

QUESTION



Why x-rays?

because they are fast.

because they oscillate a lot.

because they have large specificity.



because they are intense.



Table 3. Expected properties of sub-femtosecond radiation at several operation points generated in the SASE3 undulator with the XLEAP scheme.

Photon energy (eV)	600	870	1000	1500	2300
Undulator cells	6	7	6/7	8	8
Duration (as, FWHM)	500	500	400/550	300	300
Peak power (GW)	600	500	100/650	800	400
Pulse energy (μJ)	350	300	45/350	250	100

Overview of options for generating high-brightness attosecond x-ray pulses at free-electron lasers and applications at the European XFEL S Serkez et al., J. Opt., **20**, 024005 (2018)



Source	Photon energy	Pulse energy	Repetition rate	Average power	Pulse duration
High-harmonic generation	<1.5 keV (REF. ¹¹⁵); large flux for time-resolved experiments at <450 eV (REFS ^{71,72,116})	 10 μJ (at 10 Hz, 20 eV)^{156,157} Typically <1 nJ at 1 kHz (REFS^{157–159}) 	Up to 80 MHz (REF. ¹⁶⁰)	• <10 μ W (REFS ^{160,161}) • 1 mW expected ¹⁶²	43 as (at 100 eV) ²⁸
Free-electron laser	<24 keV (0.5 Å) ^{95,96}	Up to 1 mJ	~100 Hz, 27 kHz and 1 MHz planned ⁹⁶	Up to 120 mW (REFS ^{95,96})	 4.4 fs (REF.²¹) Bandwidth supports 200 as (REF.²²)
Synchrotron (time sliced)	<100 keV (REF. ¹⁶³)	1 nJ (REF. ¹⁶³)	1–10 kHz (REF. ¹⁶³)	$10\mu W(\text{REF}.^{163})$	100 fs (REF. ¹⁶³)

The ultrafast X-ray spectroscopic revolution in chemical dynamics Kraus, Zürch, Cushing, Neumark, Leone, Nat. Rev. Chem., **2**, 82 (2018)

Ultrafast x-ray light sources ("table top")





0.5-keV Soft X-ray attosecond continua SM Teichmann et al. Nat. Commun. **7**, 11493 (2016) Time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy with a water window high-harmonic source Y. Pertot et al. Science, **355**, 264 (2017)

Ultrafast x-ray light sources ("table top")





0.5-keV Soft X-ray attosecond continua SM Teichmann et al. Nat. Commun. **7**, 11493 (2016) Time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy with a water window high-harmonic source Y. Pertot et al. Science, **355**, 264 (2017)

- Nuclear configuration.
- Electronic configuration.
- Electronic state populations.
- Electronic coherences.
- Lifetimes, durations.

Overview of (TR) x-ray techniques

- (TR)-XAS: XANES / EXAFS (Near edge and extended edge absorption) Core electrons are excited to the valence shell near an edge or several eV. above an edge.
- (TR)-RIXS (Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering)
 Core electrons are excited to the valence shell. The core shell is refilled by an electron from another shell and the emitted electron is detected.
- (TR)-PES (Photoelectron spectroscopy)

Core electrons are ionized into the continuum and their energy and/or momentum vector detected.

• Photofragmentation spectroscopy.

A system previously excited by an XUV or x-ray pulse is further ionized by a short probe pulse (IR, XUV,...). Fragments are measured as a function of the time delay.

• (TR)-X-ray diffraction

Diffraction of x-rays at a molecule reveals structural information, possibly time resolved.

The ultrafast X-ray spectroscopic revolution in chemical dynamics Kraus, Zürch, Cushing, Neumark, Leone, Nat. Rev. Chem., **2**, 82 (2018)







Fe²⁺



- 400 nm laser excitation followed
 by Fe K-Edge x-ray probe at about 7.2 kEv.
- Conversion from low spin to high spin can be followed in the modulation of the x-ray absorption
- Experiments @ Swiss Light Source.

Femtosecond XANES Study of the Light-Induced Spin Crossover Dynamics in an Iron(II) Complex Ch. Bressler et al. Science, **323**, 489 (2009)

Electronic coherence in atoms and molecules







- An attosecond pulse starts electronic and vibrational dynamics in the molecule. Electrons rearrange.
- A delayed IR pulse fragments the molecule.
 Fragments detected as a function of the pumpprobe delay inform about the systems dynamics.

Ultrafast electron dynamics in phenylalanine initiated by attosecond pulses F. Calegari et al. *Science*, **346**, 336 (2014)

Chemical reactions followed by soft x-ray spectroscopy





56
Photochemistry of sulfurated molecules







- A Thiol molecule is illuminated with laser light at 267 nm. Isomerization and appearance of radical species follow.
- These are probed by time-resolved X-ray absorption at the sulfur K-edge with a resolution of 70 ps.
- Experiments @ Advanced Light Source.

Light-Induced Radical Formation and Isomerization of an Aromatic Thiol in Solution Followed by Time-Resolved X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy at the Sulfur K-Edge M. Ochmann *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, 2017, **139**, 4797 H+(H₂O)₂₁







Core-level transient absorption spectroscopy as a probe of electron hole relaxation in photoionized H+(H2O)n Z Li, ME Madjet, OV, R Santra, *Farad. Disc.* **171**, 457 (2014)

Transient x-ray absorption spectrum



XUV pump - X-ray probe



Transient x-ray absorption spectrum





Is-shell to valence excitation (N-I)

Outer-valence hole (N-I)

Ground State (N)

Ultrafast proton-hole motion

Core-level transient absorption spectroscopy as a probe of electron hole relaxation in photoionized H+(H2O)n Z Li, ME Madjet, OV, R Santra, *Farad. Disc.* **171**, 457 (2014)

Ultrafast proton-hole motion

Core-level transient absorption spectroscopy as a probe of electron hole relaxation in photoionized H+(H2O)n Z Li, ME Madjet, OV, R Santra, *Farad. Disc.* **171**, 457 (2014)

Transient x-ray absorption spectrum

Z Li, ME Madjet, OV, R Santra, Farad. Disc. 171, 457 (2014)

Charge transfer/migration: experiment

Charge transfer/migration: experiment

ATTOSECOND DYNAMICS

Ultrafast electron dynamics in phenylalanine initiated by attosecond pulses

F. Calegari,¹ D. Ayuso,² A. Trabattoni,³ L. Belshaw,⁴ S. De Camillis,⁴ S. Anumula,³ F. Frassetto,⁵ L. Poletto,⁵ A. Palacios,² P. Decleva,⁶ J. B. Greenwood,⁴ F. Martín,^{2,7*} M. Nisoli^{1,3*}

- XUV (sub 300 as) pump-VIS/NIR probe
- Oscillations in the yield of some fragments persists for more than 30 fs.

Electronic decoherence due to nuclear motion

Born-Huang

Electronic coherences <=> nuclear wave packet overlaps

$$\rho_{ji}(t) = \langle \chi_j(R,t) | \chi_i(R,t) \rangle$$
$$\langle \hat{O}(r) \rangle = \sum_j \rho_{jj} O_{jj} + \sum_{j>i} 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\rho_{ji}\} O_{ji}$$

Fully quantum description of electronic decoherence

- Full dimensional
- Exact at short times

Electronic decoherence following photoionization: Full quantum-dynamical treatment of the influence of nuclear motion C Arnold, OV, R Santra, *PRA* **95**, 033425 (2017)

Fully quantum description of electronic decoherence

hu

Full dimensional

С

Exact at short times

Hamiltonian: second order expansion of all relevant PES:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{f} \frac{\hbar \omega_{j}}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Q_{\kappa}^{2}} + Q_{\kappa}^{2} \right) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \sum_{j} \left(\Delta E^{(j)} + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{f} g_{\kappa}^{(j)} Q_{\kappa} + \sum_{\kappa\lambda}^{f} \gamma_{\kappa\lambda}^{(j)} Q_{\kappa} Q_{\lambda} \right) \otimes |j\rangle \langle j|$$
Electronic decoherence following photoionization: Full quantum-dynamical treatment of the influence of nuclear motion C Arnold, OV. R Santra. *PRA* **95**. 033425 (2017)

Fully quantum description of electronic decoherence

- Full dimensional
- Exact at short times

Hamiltonian: second order expansion of all relevant PES:

Electronic decoherence in water cation

4 lowest cationic states equally populated

$$\Psi(\mathbf{Q},0) = \chi_1(Q_1,0)\chi_2(Q_2,0)\chi_3(Q_3,0)\frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}\left(|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle + |4\rangle\right)$$

Electronic decoherence following photoionization: Full quantum-dynamical treatment of the influence of nuclear motion C Arnold, OV. R Santra, *PRA* **95**, 033425 (2017)

Electronic decoherence in *phenilananine* **cation**

- 63 D molecular system
- Linear superposition of X and A cationic states

Decoherence is not due to the fast modes (C-H)

Skeletal low frequency modes mostly responsible for electronic decoherence

Electronic decoherence following photoionization: Full quantum-dynamical treatment of the influence of nuclear motion C Arnold, OV, R Santra, *PRA* **95**, 033425 (2017)

Bibliography

Textbooks:

- Tannor DJ, Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, A Time-Dependent Perspective University Science Books 2007
- Levine RD, *Molecular Reaction Dynamics* Cambridge University Press **2005**

Reviews:

- Molecular Structural Dynamics Probed by Ultrafast X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy Bressler, Chergui, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **61**, 263 (2010)
- Roadmap of ultrafast x-ray atomic and molecular physics Young, Ueda et al., J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **51**, 032003, (2018)
- *The ultrafast X-ray spectroscopic revolution in chemical dynamics*. Kraus, Zürch, Cushing, Neumark, Leone, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, **2**, 82, (2018)
- Theme issue 'Measurement of ultrafast electronic and structural dynamics with Xrays' compiled and edited by Jon Marangos Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. A **377** (2019)

Microcanonical rate derivation:

2) (I) $\begin{array}{c} P \\ dp^{+} 2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{} dr^{+} \\ p^{+} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} q^{+} q$ The energy of the activated complex is divided Our goal is to compute the into translational energy ET and internal differential of rate parsing every on all other DOF, EI through the little bot in $E - \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\tau} + \mathcal{E}_{I}$ phase space. $dr^{+} = \int_{I}^{+} (\varepsilon_{I}) \cdot \int_{I}^{+} (\varepsilon_{T}) \cdot \mathcal{N}^{+} (\varepsilon_{T})$ The rate is the density of states per unit length $= \int_{I}^{+} (\varepsilon_{I}) \cdot \sqrt[n]{(\varepsilon_{T})} \frac{d\rho^{\dagger}}{h} \rightarrow d\varepsilon_{T}$ along the reaction condinate X the selonity of at the crossing point. $dr^{+} = \int_{I}^{+} (\varepsilon_{I}) \frac{d\varepsilon_{T}}{h}$ * State density From mounalization of a phase space volume $N = \frac{139.20}{100}$. per unit energy along perp. dir. to trans. state. $\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma^+ \\ \Gamma^- \\ \Gamma^$ Hence, the number of states in a lot of siles Aq around q^{\dagger} and dp^{\dagger} is $dN^{\dagger} = \frac{\Delta_q dp^{\dagger}}{h}$ $= \int_{0}^{E-\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{1}^{+} (\varepsilon_{1}) d\varepsilon_{1} = \frac{1}{\mu} N^{+} (E-\varepsilon_{0})$ * State density per unit length along read. cod. Finally, the differential densities is $P^+ = \frac{dN^+}{dk_g} = \frac{dp^+}{l_h}$ The transition state has energy E-Eo, where Es is the classical barrier and E the total $\frac{d\varepsilon_{\rm T}}{d\rho} = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{2m}\right) = \frac{\rho}{m} = N$ brenfy.

Calculation of the canonical rate expression

We have determined the total microcanonical rate. The rate constant corresponds to the total rate divided by the number of states of reactants at enorgy E -> P(E) $T^{+}(E) = K(E) P(E)$ $K(E) = \frac{N^{+}(E-E_{o})}{P(E)} ; \qquad P(E) = \frac{dN(E)}{dE}.$ The themal rate constant is now given by a Boltzman average $K(T) = \frac{1}{Q(T)} \int_{C}^{\infty} K(E) e^{-\beta E} dE$ $= \frac{k_{gT}}{h} \frac{Q^{\dagger}(T)}{Q(T)} \cdot e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{o}}$

Absorption spectrum for a very short pulse (1)

Shat (S) pulse abouption spectrum Start from $\Delta E(\omega) = 2T i \omega [\tilde{P}(\omega)]^* \tilde{E}(\omega)$, the energy gain at programing w. For $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{IFT}} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\omega t} \mathcal{E}(0) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ The TD planigation in first oder PT reads $P(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \langle \psi^{(0)}(t) | \hat{\mu} | \psi^{(1)}(t) \rangle \right\}$ $= 2\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{it} e^{iE_{o}t/t_{i}} < \psi(0) | \hat{\mu} e^{-iH_{o}t/t_{i}} \hat{\mu} | \psi(0) > e^{i\omega t} \right\} A(0)$ where $\theta(s)$ is the Heaviside function since P(t) is causal and therefore different than 0 only after the pulse.

Absorption spectrum for a very short pulse (2)

Now we introduce $\overline{P}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} P(t) & h & t > 0 \\ P(-t)^* & h & that \end{pmatrix}$ The IFT can now be taken on P(A) without meeding to take the real part times 2. $\hat{P}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iE_0 t/\hbar} \langle \psi^{(0)}(0) | \hat{\mu} e^{-i\hat{H}_0 t/\hbar} \hat{\mu} | \psi^{(0)}(0) \rangle e^{i\omega t} dt$ Finally $\Delta E(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{2\pi \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(t) e^{i(\omega_0 + \omega)t} dt$ and $\overline{V(\omega)} = \frac{\Delta E(\omega)}{|\tilde{E}(\omega)|^2 \cdot C} = \frac{2T}{h} \cdot C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(t) e^{i(\omega_0 + \omega)t} dt$